PLACER COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
TAHOE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT 14
CIVIL LAW AND MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2026

These are the tentative rulings for civil law and motion matters set at **1:00 p.m. on Friday, November 20, 2026**. The tentative ruling will be the court's final ruling unless notice of appearance and request for oral argument are given to all parties and the court by **4:00 p.m., Thursday, November 19, 2026**. Notice of request for oral argument to the court must be made by calling (530) 584-3463. Requests for oral argument made by any other method will not be accepted. Prevailing parties are required to submit orders after hearing to the court within 10 court days of the scheduled hearing date, and after approval as to form by opposing counsel. Court reporters are not provided by the court. Parties may provide a court reporter at their own expense.

Except as otherwise noted, these tentative rulings are issued by the **HONORABLE EUGENE S. GINI** and if oral argument is requested, it will be heard at 1:00 p.m. in **Department 14**, located at the Tahoe City Courthouse, 2501 No. Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, California 96145.

PLEASE NOTE: REMOTE APPEARANCES ARE STRONGLY ENCOURAGED FOR ALL CIVIL LAW AND MOTION MATTERS. (Local Rule 10.24.) More information is available at the court's website: www.placer.courts.ca.gov.

---

1. T-CV-0002667 Citibank N.A. v. Holmes, Sharon

If oral argument is requested, it will be heard in **Department 14**. Department 14 is located at **2501 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, California 96145**.

Plaintiff is advised the notice of motion must include notice of the court's tentative ruling procedures for the Tahoe courthouse. (Local Rule 20.2.3(C)(2).) Requests for oral argument must be made by calling **(530) 584-3463**.

Motion to Deem Matters Admitted

Plaintiff moves for an order deeming admitted against defendant Sharon Holmes plaintiff's requests for admission, set one. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2033.280.) No opposition has been filed.

Preliminarily, while there is no statutory meet and confer requirement, Local Rule 20.2.1 requires a good faith effort to meet and confer prior to filing any motion. Plaintiff submitted no evidence of attempts to meet and confer in good faith prior to involving the court.

The motion provides conflicting information as to where this motion hearing is to occur. On its first page, the title of the court includes, "Bill Santucci Justice Center Courthouse." The courthouse included in the Bill Santucci Justice Center is located at 10820 Justice Center Drive, Roseville, California 95678. The first page also specifies a courthouse address of 101 Maple Street, Auburn, California 95603; this, however, is the address for the Historic Courthouse in Auburn. The notice also specifies Department 14, which is the Tahoe City location at 2501 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, California 96145. This hearing is scheduled to take place in the Tahoe City courthouse, the address for which is not identified in the notice of motion.

The motion is supported by insufficient evidence of proper service. The proof of service of motion shows mailed service to defendant at an address in "Olympic, California." It appears to the court this address includes only part of the name of the city and it is unclear whether this mailing would have been delivered to the partial address. Moreover, defendant's address of record with the court is a P.O. Box in Tahoe City. There is no evidence in the court file the motion was served on defendant's P.O. Box.

Based on these procedural issues, the motion to deem matters admitted is denied.

Even if the court could reach the merits of the motion, the evidence that plaintiff served requests for admission, set one, on defendant suffers from the same address issue noted above, and there is therefore insufficient evidence defendant was properly served with requests for admission, set one.

Based on the foregoing, the motion to deem matters admitted is denied.
